

**BEFORE THE SOLE ARBITRATOR
MR. PRAVEEN KUMAR JAIN, ADVOCATE
INDRP CASE NO. 2008**

In the arbitration between:

PST Gems Private Limited

...Complainant

and

Sanjeev Kumar SK Enterprises

...Respondent

CORRIGENDUM TO ARBITRAL AWARD DATED 31-08-2025

It is hereby clarified, corrected, and recorded that in the Arbitral Award dated 31.08.2025, references to the disputed domain name <BRAMPIUM.CO> are inadvertent typographical errors, which shall stand corrected as follows:

1. At page 27, under the ISSUE NO. 1, the reference to <BRAMPIUM.CO> shall be read as <BRAMPIUM.CO.IN>. The corrected ISSUE NO. 1 shall be read as under:

ISSUE NO. 1:

Whether the Complainant is entitled to the relief of transfer of the disputed domain name <**BRAMPIUM.CO.IN**> from the Respondent? (*Onus Probandi: Complainant*)

2. At page 33, in the paragraph commencing with “*To prove the three conditions as laid down in Clause 4 of the Policy...*”, the reference to <BRAMPIUM.CO> shall be read as <BRAMPIUM.CO.IN>. The corrected paragraph shall be read as under:

To prove the three conditions as laid down in Clause 4 of the Policy, the Complainant has filed Annexure C-5 which contains copies of the Complainant's social media pages evidencing the Complainant's online presence and continuous operation of its services,. Further, Annexure C-2 contains screenshots of e-commerce websites such as Amazon and Flipkart where the complainant's products are sold. All the above documents evidence the Complainant's reputation in India as well as abroad, highlight the BRAMPIUM brand's recognition and standing in the market. To prove the three conditions as laid down in Clause 4 of the Policy, the Complainant has filed Annexure C-6 which contains copies of the status pages of the Complainant's BRAMPIUM formative trademark applications evidencing its statutory rights. Further, Annexure C-5 contains copies of the Complainant's social media pages showing its online presence, and Annexure C-2 contains screenshots of e-commerce websites such as Amazon and Flipkart where the Complainant's products are sold. In addition, Annexure C-7 and Annexure C-8 contain orders of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court recognizing the Complainant's rights in the BRAMPIUM mark. Annexure C-9 contains a copy of the disputed webpage <BRAMPIUM.CO.IN> evidencing bad faith use by the Respondent. All the above documents collectively evidence the Complainant's reputation in India as well as abroad, highlight the BRAMPIUM brand's recognition and standing in the market, and establish the Respondent's bad faith under Clause 4 of the Policy.

3. At page 44, in the paragraph commencing with "*In light of the findings recorded on Issues Nos. 1 and 2...*", the reference to <BRAMPIUM.CO> shall be read as <BRAMPIUM.CO.IN>. The corrected paragraph shall be read as under:

In light of the findings recorded on Issues Nos. 1 and 2, the Tribunal is satisfied that the Complainant has established its entitlement under the INDRP Policy. Accordingly, the Tribunal directs that the disputed domain name <BRAMPIUM.CO.IN> be transferred to the Complainant.

The above corrections are confined to typographical errors and do not in any manner affect the reasoning, findings, or operative portion of the Arbitral Award, which shall remain unaltered.

The corrected references should be read accordingly.

Place: New Delhi

Date: 25-09-2025

Praveen Kumar Jain
(Sole Arbitrator)